

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 1 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. DATE MAILED: 10/06/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/890,643

John Mills

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit 2771

Holland et al



Office Action Summary

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 9, 1997	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayl@35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1-20	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claimsa	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the E	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ a	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ррготов шанаррготов.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
[X] Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2_	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING DAGES	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08/890643

Art Unit: 2771

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The current abstract should be amended to better summarize the inventive function or the "result" achieved which is that a large data base may be memory mapped. From the instant disclosure it would appear that such a data base would be stored in ROM which does not appear likely.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the prior art U.S. Patent 5,301,302 to Blackard et al.

Application/Control Number: 08/890643

Art Unit: 2771

The applicant's invention of simulating executable code and then memory mapping data into virtual memory is taught by the prior art reference as follows:

In claim 1, the prior art reference teaches the method of the claimed invention. The step of converting a read only file into an executable file is taught by the reference as the simulator copies data and instructions from Read Only Storage (ROS) into the operating system's shared memory segment. (See Col. 8 line 21 et seq.) The further step of memory mapping the converted file into memory is taught by the reference as the memory mapping whereby addresses of a one segment of memory are mapped into a second segment of memory. (See Col. 16 line 21 et seq.)

Claims 7 and 13 are rejected in the analysis above and are rejected on that basis.

In claim 2, the virtual memory is taught by the reference on Col. 16 line 42 et seq.

In claim 3, the operating system loader is shown by the reference on Col. 16 line 21 et seq.

In claim 4, the shared memory is taught by the reference as the shared memory segements taught on Col 16 line 21.

In claim 5, the read only file being a data base file is inherent in the teaching of the reference in that the data in the read only file may be formated as a database.

In claim 6, the wrapping of the read only file with executable code is inherent in the reference teaching of instruction address translation (See Col. 13 line 22 et seq.)

Claims 8-12 and 14-18 are rejected in the analysis above and are rejected on that basis.

Claims 19 and 20 recite an image file and an audio file but the type of data and type of file is inherent in the teaching of the reference and the data could be either image or audio.

Application/Control Number: 08/890643

Art Unit: 2771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John G. Mills whose telephone number is (703) 308-9822. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from to 9:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black, can be reached on (703)-305-9707. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703-305-9731).

John G. Mills

John Mills

THOMAS G. BLACK
THOMAS G. BLAC